See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339209013

The advertisement call of Megophrys jingdongensis Fei and Ye, 1983 and a new record from Lai Chau Province, Northeast Vietnam

Article in Herpetology Notes · February 2020



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

IUCN Global Amphibian Assessment 2 View project

Assessing how the cultural background of a species (Leptodactylus fallax) influences public opinion. View project

The advertisement call of *Megophrys jingdongensis* Fei and Ye, 1983 and a new record from Lai Chau Province, Northeast Vietnam

Timothy Cutajar¹, Jodi J.L. Rowley^{1,2}, Luan Thanh Nguyen³, Chung Thanh Nguyen⁴, Christopher Portway¹, Luke Harding⁵, Hao Van Luong⁴, and Benjamin Tapley^{6,*}

Megophrys frogs Kuhl and van Hasselt, 1822, are morphologically conserved but male advertisement call data, which may aid the identification of species in the field, have not routinely been included in Megophrys species descriptions. Megophrys jingdongensis Fei and Ye, 1983 is a relatively widely distributed species of Megophrys and is included within the subgenus Panophrys Rao and Yang, 1997. Megophrys jingdongensis has been reported from China (Jingdong, Luchun, Pingbian, Shuangbai, Wenshan, Xingping, Xishuangbanna and Yuanyang counties in Yunann Province and Tianlin County in northwestern Guangxi Province: Fei and Ye, 2016; Chen et al., 2016), and Lao Cai and Ha Giang provinces in northern Vietnam (Ohler et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2016). This species is known to occur in subtropical evergreen forests between 1000-2400 m a.s.l. (Fei et al., 2004) and is assessed as Least Concern on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Fei et al., 2004).

We encountered *M. jingdongensis* at night during field work in the Hoang Lien Range in June 2012, June 2016 and September 2017. *Megophrys jingdongensis*

- ¹ Australian Museum Research Institute, Australian Museum, 1 William St, Sydney, NSW, 2010, Australia.
- ² Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia.
- ³ Asian Turtle Program Indo-Myanmar Conservation, R. 1806, CT1 Bac Ha C14 Building, To Huu Road, Ha Noi, Vietnam.
- ⁴ Hoang Lien National Park, Sa Pa, Lao Cai, Viet Nam.
- ⁵ Paignton Zoo Environmental Park, Totnes Road, Paignton, Devon, TQ4 7EU, UK.
- ⁶ Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, NW1 4RY, UK.

Corresponding author. E-mail: ben.tapley@zsl.org

was observed at two sites in disturbed broad leaf forest between 1685–2153 m a.s.l. in Lao Cai Province and two sites in disturbed broad leaf forest Lai Chau Province between 1887–1923 m a.s.l. (see Table 1). This is the first record of *M. jingdongensis* in Lai Chau Province, and this collection site is 372 km southeast of the approximate type locality. This new locality is not a significant range extension, it has been collected previously from adjoining Sa Pa District in Lao Cai Province although precise collection site details were not reported (Fei et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2016).



Figure 1. A distribution map of *Megophrys jingdongensis* in northern Vietnam, northern Laos and southern China. Red points represent the collection sites in this study and black points represent those reported in the literature (see text for details). Solid blue area represents presumed range and cross hatched blue area denotes areas where this species may be possibly extant. Blue outline denotes EOO.

Specimens were euthanized using a 20% solution of benzocaine applied to the ventral surface of the frog. Tissue samples (liver) for molecular analyses were extracted prior to formalin fixation. Specimens were deposited at the Australian Museum, Sydney (AMS), and the Hoang Lien National Park headquarters (HLNP).

The specimens were identified morphologically as M. jingdongensis on the basis of the following characters: (1) a large adult size, SVL > 50.0 mm; (2) a distinct tympanum; (3) the presence of vomerine teeth and ridges; (4) the presence of maxillary teeth; (5) the presence of nuptial pads on breeding males; (6) the presence of a small palpebral tubercle; and (7) the absence of a light longitudinal marking on the upper lip (Fei and Ye, 1983; Fei et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). Species identity was confirmed through molecular analysis (See Tapley et al., 2017 for molecular methods). The newly obtained sequences (Table 1) differed from specimens collected approximately 40 km from the type locality (GenBank accession number KX811877; Chen et al., 2016) in Zhenyuan County, Yunnan Province, China by 0.38% uncorrected p-distance at the 16S rDNA fragment examined (~530bp). This is congruent with intraspecific variation reported in frogs (e.g. Fouquet et al., 2007). Uncorrected p-distance between sequences from specimens collected as part of this study ranged from 0.00–0.18%, the newly obtained sequences were deposited in GenBank (see Table 1 for accession numbers).

An updated species range map was created in ArcMap 10.2.2 (Fig. 1) incorporating sites where we encountered the species and published records (Fei et al., 2012; Fei and Ye, 2016; Chen et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2016). The elevation range within which M. jingdongensis is likely to occur was estimated by adding a buffer of 50 m to the lowest and highest known elevation records of the species (Tapley et al., 2017). Areas of habitat were deemed suitable and included in maps if they are within the species' estimated elevation range; are covered with forest; and, are not separated from known localities by any continuous stretch of unsuitable habitat with a distance equal to or above 1 km. Extent of Occurrence (EOO) was measured using the IUCN EOO Calculator tool v1.2 and was calculated as 237981.6 km². The species would likely still qualify as Least Concern (IUCN, 2012) in accordance with the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species categories in view of its wide distribution, presumed large population, and because it is unlikely to be declining fast enough to qualify for listing in a more threatened category. The data herein are presented for easy assimilation into a Red List assessment for the species by the regional IUCN amphibian Red List authority. We observed ongoing

Specimen number	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation (m asl)	District	Province	Collection date	Genbank accession
AMS R185925 Field tag JJLR 02700	22.3483 °N	103.7302 °Е	1887	Tam Duong	Lai Chau	15.06.12	MN876205
AMS R185926 Field tag JJLR 02701	22.3483 °N	103.7302 °E	1887	Tam Duong	Lai Chau	15.06.12	MN871430
AMS R185927 Field tag JJLR 02702	22.3483 °N	103.7302 °E	1887	Tam Duong	Lai Chau	15.06.12	N/A
AMS R185924 Field tag JJLR03823	22.3479 °N	103.7722 °Е	1923	Tam Duong	Lai Chau	24.06.16	MN871425
AMS R185928 Field tag JJLR 02760	22.3821 °N	103.7875 °E	1708	Sa Pa	Lao Cai	18.06.12	MN876206
AMS R185929 Field tag JJLR 02761	22.3821 °N	103.7875 °E	1708	Sa Pa	Lao Cai	18.06.12	N/A
HLNP2016062200007 Field tag JJLR03811	22.3821 °N	103.7870 °E	1722	Sa Pa	Lao Cai	22.06.16	MN871429
Unvouchered	22.3865 °N	103.7834 °E	1685	Sa Pa	Lao Cai	23.06.16	N/A
HLNP20180908200008 Field tag JJLR03849	22.5095 °N	103.6219 °E	2153	Bat Xat	Lao Cai	08.09.17	MN871424
AMS R185930 Field tag BX001	22.5099 °N	103.6311 °E	2153	Bat Xat	Lao Cai	08.09.17	MN871433

Table 1. Collection localities of Megophrys jingdongensis.



Figure 2. Megophrys jingdongensis (male) AMS R185924 in situ.

disturbance to the species' habitat due to grazing of domestic livestock and forest clearance for agriculture as well as fuel wood collection for a nearby tourist camp at the site in Bat Xat District (see Tapley et al., 2018a for details). The presence of *M. jingdongensis* in Laos requires confirmation.

One specimen (specimen AMS R185924; Fig. 2.) was recorded vocalising on the 24th of June 2016. The call description is based on the calls of this specimen (Table 2; Fig. 3.). The specimen was perched on a



Figure 3. Advertisement call of *Megophrys jingdongensis* JJLR03823. (A) 8 s waveform of relative amplitude (Rel. amp.) over time for one call group, (B) 1 s waveform and spectrogram of Rel. amp. and frequency for four calls. Recorded at an ambient air temperature of 18.5 °C.

rocky overhang 1.8 m above and 1.5 m from a 3 m wide cascading stream at the base of Love Waterfall (thác Tình Yêu) in disturbed evergreen forest on Mount Fansipan, Hoang Lien National Park, Tam Duong District, Lai Chau Province, Vietnam. Advertisement calls were recorded with an Edirol R-09HR WAVE/MP3 Recorder (96 kHz sampling rate and 24-bit encoding) with a Røde NTG-2 condenser shotgun microphone. Calls were recorded at a distance of approximately 0.2 m and ambient temperatures at the calling site were taken immediately after recordings using a Kestrel 3500 hand-held weather meter. Calls were analysed with Raven Pro 1.5[©] software (http://www.birds.cornell.edu/ raven). Audiospectrograms in figures were calculated with fast-Fourier transform (FFT) of 512 points, 50% overlap using Hanning windows. We follow Duellman (1970) in the definition of call units and pulses, except that single call is defined as a vocalisation produced during one expiration (Brown and Richards 2008). We used the definitions of Cocroft and Ryan (1995), when measuring the temporal and spectral parameters of calls with the exception of fundamental frequency, where the definition of Duellman (1970) was used. For each call recording, we measured the call duration (ms), intercall interval (ms), number of calls per call group, call repetition rate (calls/s), whether a call was pulsed, and dominant frequency (kHz). Comparative advertisement call characters for Megophrys species were taken from references, with advertisement calls known for 12 of the 41 known species of Megophrys (subgenus Panophrys; Jiang et al., 2002; Li et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Tapley et al., 2017; Tapley et al., 2018b; Wang et al., 2019). Inconsistencies in published call descriptions precluded direct comparison with the calls of all congeners with published call descriptions.

Advertisement calls were recorded at 18.5 °C ambient temperature. Calls were an average of 132.7 ms (117.0– 147.0 ms) in duration. The average dominant frequency of calls was 2.4 kHz (2.4–2.6 kHz). Calls were repeated at 3.94 calls per second, and the intercall interval ranged from 102–127 ms. The number of calls within the single call group was 17. The call group began with 4 calls at relatively low amplitude, the amplitude increasing with each of these four calls, after which amplitude remained relatively constant (Fig. 3B). Amplitude was modulated in individual calls, peaking towards the middle (Fig 3B.). The advertisement call of *M. jingdongensis* differs from those of its 11 congeners (subgenus *Panophrys*) for which calls have been described (see Table 2 for comparisons).

Species	Number of call groups analysed	Number of calls analysed	Number of calls per call group	Call duration (ms)	Number of pulses per call	Intercall interval (ms)	Call repetition rate (calls/s)	Dominant frequency (kHz)	Temperature °C	Reference
M. jingdongensis	1	17	17	132.7 (117.0–147.0)	Indistinct pulsation	113.0 (102.0–127.0)	3.9	2.5 (2.4–2.6)	18.5	This study
M. acuta	4	33	8.3 (7.0-9.0)	136.0 (106.0–172.0)	Not reported	425.0 (335.0-747.0)	2.0 (1.9–2.0)	Not reported	26.9	Li et al., 2014
M. boettgeri	ę	76	25.3*	54.0*	Not reported	215.0*	5.0*	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. cheni	6	88	9.2*	143.0*	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. fansipanensis	20	09	22.8 (1.0-41.0)	42.0 (34.0-49.0)	11.6 (10.0–14.0)	204.4 (180.0-290.0)	3.9 (3.8–4.0)	3.8 (3.6-4.7)	15.3-18.3	Tapley et al., 2018b
M. hoanglienensis	5	20	17.0 (11.0-21.0)	102.9 (96.0-108.0)	18.7 (12.0–22.0)	274.2 (178.0–565.0)	2.6	3.0 (2.8–3.0)	18.5	Tapley et al., 2018b
M. jinggangensis	25	420	26.1*	77.0*	Not reported	127.0*	5.7*	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. huangshanensis	ę	42	35.0*	86.0*	Not reported	165.0*	4.1*	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. kuatunensis	4	45	11.3*	208.0*	Not reported	970.0*	1.0*	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. lini	18	164	10.2*	106.0*	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	15.0-18.0	Wang et al., 2014
M. minor	Not reported	13	8.0-16.0	90.8 (75.0-110.0)	Not reported	253.0 (213.0-363.0)	4.0*	3.5 (3.4–3.5)	14	Jiang et al., 2002
M. rubrimera	Π	60	38.7 (16.0–51.0)	73.3 (62.0–85.0)	23.1 (19.0–25.0) or indistinct pulsation	221.3(190.0–261.0)	3.3 (3.1–3.4)	3.3 (3.2–3.4)	21.0–22.9	Tapley et al., 2017
M. shunhuangensis	Not reported	40	Not reported	109.6 (97–129)	17.2 (14–20)	287.2 (210–359)	approx. 2.0	3.79–3.88	16.4	Wang et al., 2019

142 Table 2. Bioacoustic comparison between the male advertisement call of Megophrys jingdongensis and other species in the subgenus for which calls have been described. The mean value and

ranges are presented. * indicates that only mean values were provided in the referred publication. Grey shading represents non-overlapping call parameters.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the staff at Hoang Lien National Park for their assistance and collaboration. We would like to thank Mr. Nguyen Huu Hanh (Director) and Nguyen Quang Vinh (Former Director) for continued support and partnership. We thank Mr Nguyen Huu Hanh, Vice Chief of Lao Cai FPD for his support in arranging the office's work at Ba Xat. We also thank Mr Nguyen Dinh Thang from Lao Cai FPD and Mr Nghiem Trong Tan, both of whom provided support for the field work on Ky Quan San mountain. The Vietnamese Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and staff at Hoang Lien National Park kindly facilitated surveys and issued permissions (Permit numbers 1343/ TCCL-BTTN, 3762/UBND-NC, 4198/UBND-NLN and 3762/ UBND-NC). Ethical approval was granted by the Zoological Society of London's ethics committee (project ZFP1). Duong Thi Lan, Tran Van Tu, Nguyen Manh Hung, Le Cong Huy, Dau Quang Vinh, Phạm Van Sang, Hang A Su, Hoang A Di and Dinh Van Xuan assisted with fieldwork. We would also like to extend our thanks to the People's Committee of Lao Cai Province for supporting this programme of research. This work was supported by the Mohammed bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund (B. Tapley and J. Rowley), Ocean Park Conservation Foundation Hong Kong (B. Tapley, L. Nguyen, and J. Rowley).

References

- Brown, R.X., Richards, S.J. (2008): Two new frogs of the genus *Platymantis* (Anura: Ceratobatrachidae) from the Isabel Island group, Solomon Islands. Zootaxa 1888: 47–68.
- Cocroft, R.B., Ryan, M.J. (1995): Patterns of advertisement call evolution in toads and chorus frogs. Animal Behaviour 49: 283–303.
- Chen, J.M., Zhou, W.W., Poyarkov Jr, N.A., Stuart, B.L., Brown, R.M., Lathrop, A., Wang, Y.Y., Yuan, Z.Y., Jiang, K., Hou, M., Chen, H.M. (2016): A novel multilocus phylogenetic estimation reveals unrecognized diversity in Asian horned toads, genus *Megophrys sensu lato* (Anura: Megophryidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution **106**: 28–43.
- Duellman, W.E. (1970): The Hylid Frogs of Middle America. Monograph of the Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas 1: 1–427.
- Fei, L., Lu, S., Yang, D., Ohler, A., Swan, S. (2004): Megophrys jingdongensis. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2004: e.T57639A11668036. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2004.RLTS.T57639A11668036.en. Accessed on 04 September 2018.
- Fei, L., Ye, C.Y., Jiang, J.P. (2012): Colored atlas of Chinese amphibians and their distributions. Chengdu: Sichuan Publishing House of Science & Technology. (in Chinese)
- Fei, L., Ye, C.Y. (2016): Amphibians of China, Volume (i). Beijing: Science Press.
- Fei, L., Ye, C.Y., Huang, Y.Z. (1983): Two new subspecies of *Megophrys omeimontis* Liu from China (Amphibia; Pelobatidae). Acta Herpetologica Sinica 2: 49–52.
- Fouquet, A., Gilles, A., Vences, M., Marty, C., Blanc, M., Gemmell, N.J. (2007): Underestimation of species richness in Neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses. PLoS one 2: p.e1109.
- Günther, A. (1864): *The Reptiles of British India*. The Ray Society, London, 452 pp. + I–XXVII + pl. 1–26.

- IUCN (2012): IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. Second edition. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK, IUCN.
- Jiang, J., Xie, F., Fei, L., Ye, C., Zheng, X. (2002): Mating calls of six forms of pelobatid in Wawu Mountain national forest park, Sichuan, China (Anura: Pelobatidae). Zoological research/" Dong wu xue yan jiu" bian ji wei yuan hui bian ji 23: 89–94.
- Kuhl, H.,van Hasselt, J.C. (1822): Uittreksels uit breieven van de Heeren Kuhl en van Hasselt, aan de Heeren C. J. Temminck, Th. van Swinderen en W. de Haan. Algemeene Konst-en Letter-Bode 7: 99–104.
- Nguyen, T.V., Pham, C.V. Nguyen, T.Q., Hoang, C.V. (2016): Redescription and new record of *Xenophrys jingdongensis* (Fei & Ye, 1983) (Anura: Megophryidae) from Ha Giang Province, Vietnam. Proceedings of the third National Scientific Conference on Reptiles and Amphibians: 111–116.
- Ohler, A., Marquis, O., Swan, S., Grosjean, S.T. (2000): Amphibian biodiversity of Hoang Lien Nature Reserve (Lao Cai Province, northern Vietnam) with description of two new species. Herpetozoa 13: 71–87.
- Palumbi S.R., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W.O., Stice, L., Grabowski, G. (1991): The simple fool's guide to PCR. Honolulu: Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii, 47 pp.
- Rao, D.Q. and Yang, D.T. (1997): The karyotypes of Megophryinae (Pelobatidae) with a discussion on their classification and phylogenetic relationships. Asiatic Herpetological Research 7: 93–102.
- Tapley, B., Cutajar, T., Mahony, S., Nguyen, T.C., Dau, V.Q., Nguyen, T.T. Luong, V.H., Rowley, J.J.L. (2017): The Vietnamese population of *Megophrys kuatunensis* (Amphibia: Megophryidae) represents a new species of Asian horned frog from Vietnam and southern China. Zootaxa 4344: 465–492.
- Tapley, B., Cutajar, T., Nguyen, L.T., Nguyen, C.T., Harding, L., Portway, C., Van Luong, H. and Rowley, J.J. (2018a). A new locality and elevation extension for *Megophrys rubrimera* (Tapley et al., 2017) in Bat Xat Nature Reserve, Lao Cai Province, northern Vietnam. Herpetology Notes **11**: 865–868.
- Tapley, B., Rowley, J.J.L., Cutajar, T., Nguyen, T.C., Luong, V.H. (2018b): Two new and potentially highly threatened megophryid frogs (Amphibia: Megophryidae) from Indochina's highest mountain. Zootaxa 4508: 301–333.
- Wang, Y., Zhao, J., Yang, J., Zhou, Z., Chen, G., Liu, Y. (2014): Morphology, molecular genetics, and bioacoustics support two new sympatric *Xenophrys* toads (Amphibia: Anura: Megophryidae) in Southeast China. PloS one **9**: e93075.
- Wang, L., Deng, X., Liu, Y., Wu, Q., Liu, Z. (2019): A new species of the genus *Megophrys* (Amphibia: Anura: Megophryidae) from Hunan, China. Zootaxa 4695: 301–330.

Accepted by Hendrik Müller